



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

F	APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/706,749		11/12/2003	Hitoshi Furuya	CU-3447 RJS	7555
	26530	7590	11/23/2005		EXAM	INER
	LADAS &		LLP AN AVENUE		KAYRISH,	MATTHEW
	SUITE 1600	· · · - · · -		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	CHICAGO,	IL 6060	4	2653		

DATE MAILED: 11/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/706,749	FURUYA ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
·	Matthew G. Kayrish	2653		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address		
Period for Reply	V 10 05T TO EVDIDE ***	(00) DAYO		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI: 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 N	lovember 2003.			
· ·	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims	ę			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.	·			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		·		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 12 November 2003 is/a] objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. 8	S 119(a)-(d) or (f)		
a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in A	pplication No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have been	received in this National Stage		
application from the International Burea				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 2653

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable over Park (U.S. Patent Number 6445673).
- 3. Regarding claim 1, Park et al disclose:

A disk apparatus comprising:

A head that reads information from a disk (Abstract);

A guiding rod that movably supports and guides the head (column 3, lines 50-52); and

A height adjustment portion that is rotatably formed on a base for adjusting the height of the guiding rod (column 3 & 4, lines 65-67 & 1-2),

Wherein the height adjustment portion includes a height adjustment cam for sandwiching the guiding rod (figure 4).

4. Regarding claim 2, Park et al disclose:

The disk apparatus as claimed in claim 1,

Wherein when the height adjustment portion is rotated where the guiding rod is sandwiched by the height adjustment cam (figure 4), the height of the

Application/Control Number: 10/706,749 Page 3

Art Unit: 2653

guiding rod is adjusted while the guiding rod is restrained by the height adjustment cam (figure 4).

5. Regarding claim 3, Park et al disclose:

The disk apparatus as claimed in claim 1, wherein the height adjustment portion is shaped as a circular cylinder (column 4, line 3).

- 6. With regard to claim 4, a "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, see In re Hirao, 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3, CCPA, 5/27/76); In re Brown, 173 USPQ 685 (CCPA 5/18/72); In re Luck, 177 USPQ 523 (CCPA, 4/26/73); In re Fessmann, 180 USPQ 324 (CCPA, 1/10/74); In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964 (CAFC, 11/21/85). The patentability of the final product in a "product by process" claim must be determined by the product itself and not the actual process and an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not.
- 7. Regarding claim 4, Park et al disclose everything in claim 1, further disclosing:

Wherein the height adjustment portion is formed by outsert molding (Product by Process).

8. Regarding claim 5, Park et al disclose:

The disk apparatus as claimed in claim 1, wherein no height adjustment cam is formed at a prescribed peripheral area of the height adjustment portion (figure 3).

9. Regarding claim 6, Park et al disclose:

Application/Control Number: 10/706,749

Art Unit: 2653

The disk apparatus as claimed in claim 1, wherein the height adjustment

cam sandwiches the guiding rod at an end portion of the guiding rod (figure 4).

10. Regarding claim 7, Park et al disclose:

The disk apparatus as claimed in claim 6, wherein the end portion of the

guiding rod has an end surface that is engaged to a bottom surface of the height

adjustment cam (column 4, lines 15-20).

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Matthew G. Kayrish whose telephone number is 571-

272-4220. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am - 5pm M-F.

12. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, William Korzuch can be reached on 571-272-7589. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

13. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

10-17-7005

Page 4

WILLIAM KORZUCH

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600